

County Strategy Group for Crime and Disorder

6 June 2008

Item 3: County Agreement – approval of strategic objectives

Contact:

Jon Whale, PBRSExt: 3691

Email: Jon.whale@hants.gov.uk

Alistair Sackley, PBRSExt: 3695

Email: Alistair.sackley@hants.gov.uk

1. Summary

1.1 This report sets out the methodological and consultative processes undertaken in order to approve the four strategic objectives within the first County Community Safety Agreement:-

1. Promoting Safety:
- Tackle anti-social behaviour
- Drive down criminal damage
- Combat the problems caused by drugs, alcohol and substance misuse
2. Protecting People:
- Work with partners in order to deal robustly with the most serious and violent offenders including PPOs
- Reduce the most serious violence including the number of domestic abuse victims, hate crimes and assault in public places
3. Tackling Crime:
- Reduce re-offending
- Fight vehicle crime
- Work to reduce alcohol related crime and anti-social behaviour
4. Enhance confidence and satisfaction:
- Engage and listen to our communities to gain their confidence and trust by focussing on local priorities
- Ensure that our communities feel engaged and have a sense of ownership in local processes
- Continually develop measures that help prevent the fear of crime

2. Background

2.1 A workshop, facilitated by Dr Sohail Hussein on behalf of the LAA Delivery Group's Performance subgroup was carried out in January to explore the theory of action planning and consider a few examples of shared priorities drawn from those which had already been identified through district assessments. The idea was to help inform plans in

relation to those particular examples and also to develop a consensus around the prioritisation processes involved.

- 2.2 Following initial consultation from the agreed data sets as per [2007:SI 1831](#) HCC brokered all county services data and created and populated a data store for and behalf of all partners. In combination with locally held data, this enabled District partners to complete their first round assessments.

3. Methodology

- 3.1 Where possible each district assessment was separated into Spatial, Demographic and Thematic priorities, which were then subjected to pairwise comparison, MoSCoW and stated preference prioritisation techniques. These are considered best practice and were rediscussed at the workshop in January which all CDRPs attended.

- 3.2 The results were then cross-referenced with district partner plans to ensure continuity and highlight National Indicators.

- 3.3 The LAA priorities and targets have been developed alongside the CSA process and the matching is set out in the attached data table. These were also discussed at the Community Safety workshop on Thursday 22 May. In addition to the safer communities LAA targets, the CSA objectives also relate to priorities and targets in other LAA themes which are led by other partners – eg:

- (Local PI) LIF4: Alcohol harm related hospital admissions**
- NI4% of people who feel they can influence decisions in their locality**

- 3.4 The national performance framework for local authorities and partners (NIS) contains additional PIs beyond those selected for the LAA. These will be monitored and used in the Comprehensive Area Assessment. The Assessment of Policing and Community Safety (APACS) contains PIs which overlap with but extend beyond the NIS.

4. Consultation

- January 2008 – District assessments completed and returned to HCC
- 12 February 2008 – First draft Community Safety Agreement produced and shared with practitioners
- February 26 to April 21 2008 – Further consultation on second draft CSA

- May 22 2008 – Partner workshop to:
 - i. Recognise the strengths and weaknesses of first round strategic assessment and community safety agreement process;
 - ii. Identify areas in which practices could be improved;
 - iii. Identify key local actions;
 - iv. Identify commissioning needs;
 - v. Consider how these are linked and applied in relation to the Local Area Agreement.

5. Recommendations

- 5.1 From the Community Safety workshop held on Thursday 22 May and on the advice of all those partners involved with the consultation from February 26 to April 21 2008, the County Strategy Group are asked to approve:
- i. The strategic priorities within the current Community Safety Agreement;
 - ii. The document methodology, in accordance with the National Intelligence Model;
 - iii. The format of the document

CSAO Objectives	LAA Priorities	LAA Targets
<p>1. Promoting Safety:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Tackle anti-social behaviour - Drive down criminal damage - Combat the problems caused by drugs, alcohol and substance misuse 	Reduce Anti-Social Behaviour	<p>NI17 Perception of antisocial behaviour</p> <p>NI33 Arson incidents</p>
<p>2. Protecting People:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Work with partners in order to deal robustly with the most serious and violent offenders including PPOs - Reduce the most serious violence including the number of domestic abuse victims, hate crimes and assault in public places 		<p>(LOCALPI) LIE1: Criminal damage (using the HO Class Codes in Appendix A)</p> <p>(LOCALPI) LIE2: Incidents of rowdy and inconsiderate behaviour. (using classifications in Appendix A)</p>
<p>3. Tackling Crime:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reduce re-offending - Fight vehicle crime - Work to reduce alcohol related crime and anti-social behaviour 	Alcohol Related Public Violence	NI20 Assault within jury crime rate
<p>4. Enhance confidence and satisfaction:</p>	Domestic Violence	NI32 Repeat incidents of domestic violence
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Engage and listen to our communities to gain their confidence and trust by focussing on local priorities 	Reduce adult re-offending (PPOs)	NI30 Re-offending rate of prolific and priority offenders
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ensure that our communities feel engaged and have a sense of ownership in local processes 	Tackle drug related offending	NI38 Drug related (class A) offending rate
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Continually develop measures that help prevent the fear of crime 	Reduce the number of first time entrants to the Youth Justice System	NI11 First time entrants to the Youth Justice System aged 10-17